



Name:	<b>UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_UPJOZ_3433</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>OPTIMIZING VERICOMPOSTING METHODS INVOLVES IMPROVING EFFICIENCY, MAXIMIZING NUTRIENT RETENTION, AND MINIMIZING ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS-A REVIEW</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's feedback</b>
<b><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</b>  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b>  (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	The manuscript appears to be important for the scientific community, particularly for researchers, practitioners, and policymakers interested in sustainable agriculture, waste management, and soil health.	Okay
<b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b>  (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The suggested title, "Comparative Analysis and Optimization of Vermicomposting Methods for Sustainable Waste Management," effectively encapsulates the focus and scope of the manuscript. It highlights the comparison between vermicomposting methods and emphasizes the goal of optimization for sustainable waste management.	



3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Agreed, however, incorporate both a methodology and a conclusion within the abstract	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No, it can be condensed by dividing it into sections and subsections, with the inclusion of a methodology and conclusion at the paper's end.	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	The manuscript lacks the depth expected of a critical review paper; it reads more like a straightforward comparison of two methods, without thorough analysis. Additionally, some sentences come across as procedural breakdowns, which isn't suitable for this type of paper. Avoid using imperative sentences, especially from page 4 onward.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	It is recommended to incorporate more recent research findings.	
<u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	This manuscript requires substantial rewriting	



<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	